Hadith of Bat; Exploring and Criticizing a Verse from Sanaei's Hadighah

Dr. Ahmad Rezaei*

Associate Professor, Department of Persian Language and Literature, Faculty of Literature and Humanities, University of Qom, Qom, Iran.

(Received: December 5, 2019 Accepted: September 13, 2020)

Extended Abstract

1. Introduction

The explanations of the literary texts are intended to explain and clarify the various aspects of the texts for the readers; in other words, from the exegete's view, a text has complexities that the reader, even an expert reader, may not be able to comprehend. Hence the commentator tries to resolve such difficulties and inform the reader about the process of the text.

Hadith of Sanai is one of the texts that can be considered one of the original works (Canon) in the Persian language due to its influence on the thought of subsequent writers and poets, and consequently, on other texts. This has led to much research on aspects of this text, especially in the field of its description, but despite the efforts of the commentators, it can be said that the explanations of the *Hadighah* have not been left without of the shortcomings and errors.

In this research, we have studied one of the *Hadighah's* verses in different explanations and we have shown that these explanations are caught up in the fragmentation and repetition of the opinions of others, and they have not explained how to link their explanations to other parts of the text, and this has caused a profound change in the meaning of the text.

2. Theoretical Framework

It can be said that the complexities that the commentators of the texts seek to explain are due to two components:

A) Different textual systems: This section includes all textual elements (i.e., linguistic systems), especially words (including the meanings of words and special terms), syntactic system and rhetorical system; the exegete explains the text according to the complexity that may have arisen from one of the above cases.

^{*.} Corresponding Author Email: a-rezaei@qom.ac.ir

B) Meta-texts: Sometimes the ambiguity of the text is not the result of textual structures (as mentioned) but of elements or components outside the text, which is referred to here as meta-text; it is clear that the connection between the text and the meta-text is based on a specific sign and meaning, that is, in the text itself, there is a sign of its connection with the hypertext, and the exegete cannot place the meta-text in the text; the result is that understanding the meaning of the text depends on knowing the desired context. Such allusions or historical contexts can be considered as such.

These components cannot be independent and separate, but must be considered as interacting with each other; this is the same attention to the "form of the literary work" that the formalists also emphasized. According to it, every literary point, from word to discourse, should be examined in connection with other points (Ahmadi 1993); in this case, the text forms the whole structure whose various devices are interconnected, and each element must be considered in relation to other elements, whether text or meta-text.

According to what was said, it seems that in many explanations of Persian texts, two features can be seen: A) Ignorance of structure or partiality: that is, regardless of the overall structure of the text, only one of its components is examined or described. B) Quoting without examining the reception of others: in addition to being an issue, another problem in the description of texts is quoting the sayings of others without looking at its aspects. Quoting and repeating the opinions of others in these explanations has three characteristics: mere repetition without analysis or criticism, failure to check the correctness or accuracy of the material, which may be due to the narrator's trust, and ignoring the relevance or non-relevance of quoted content with the intended text. These factors cause the breaking between the description and the text.

3. Method

Although the verse in question is one of the most remarkable of the verses of Sana'i *Hadighah* and is a relatively early one, and many of the works that have described *Hadighah* or explained its problems or have provided collection from this work have refused to explain this verse, in five popular and common *Hadighah* commentaries, the verse is one of the verses that scholars have discussed in its context.

In this study, we have first quoted what the commentators of Hadith have said in historical order, then, while explaining their influence on each other, we have clarified their shortcomings and tried to provide an acceptable analysis of this verse according to the components of different text and meta-text systems.

4. Conclusion

As mentioned in textual analysis, in addition to paying attention to the structure of the text and meta-texts, we should avoid detailing and quoting without examining the words of others. In the commentaries of Persian texts, including *Hadighah* of Sanai, in various positions, such factors have caused the loss of the texts' meaning; one example is in the:

سال و مه مانده در حدیث بطی تو در این راه معرفت غلطی

Abdul Latif Abbasi considered Hadith of Bat to include a story, and the commentators after him have repeated his words regardless of the structure of the text, especially its syntactic structure, while no connection can be made between the narrated story and the verse; others have changed the meaning of the story and replaced it with another story, but the problem with the text remains. However, if we consider the mentioned phrase according to the structure of the text and meta-text, the reference and meaning will be different.

It seems that this phrase refers to the style of one of the narrators named Ibn Bati or Ibn Batta, who among the Hadith scholars has less famous Hadiths, and it seems that the others did not pay attention to these Hadiths. However, the Hadith of Bat, in the general sense, can include any weak and baseless statement and as we have mentioned, other verses of Sana'i also confirm this meaning.

Keywords: Critique, Interpretation, Sana'i's Hadiqah, Hadith of Bat

References (In Persian)

Abbasi, A. (2008). الطايف الحدايق [Latayef al-Hadayegh]. Qom: Aein Ahmad.

- Ahmadi, B. (1993). المساختار و تأويل متن [Text structure and hermeneutics]. Tehran: Markaz.
- Al-Faraa Al-Hanbali, M. (2010). الصفات[Annulment of]إبطال التأويلات لأخبار الصفات[Annulment of]
- As Halah, O. (1993). معجم المؤلفين [The dictionary of authors]. Beirut: The Risala Foundation.

Ashrafzadeh, R. (2013). *إب آتش فرو*ز [Flaming water]. Tehran: Jami.

- Bahador, A. (2010). اطريقه بر حديقه (The modus on Hadighah: Complete description of the first chapter of Hadighah Sana'i]. Qom: Qom University Press.
- Dori, Z. (2013). المسرح دشوارى هايي از حديقه الحقيقه سنايي. The commentry of the difficulties of Hadiqah al-Haqiqah Sana'i]. Tehran: Zovvar.

- Halabi, A. (1993). كزيده حديقه الحقيقه[An anthology of Hadiqah al-Haqiqah]. Tehran: University of Payame Noor Press.
- Ibn Battah, O. (2002). الديانه اصول على الابانه و الشرح [Explanation and ablution on the principles of religion]. Tehran: Markaz.
- Mahdavi Damghani, A. (2003). *اورباغ روشسنایی: گزیدهٔ حدیقه الحقیقه*[In the garden of enlightenment: A selective collection of Hadiqah al-Haqiqah Sana'i]. Tehran: Sokhan.
- Modarres Razavi, M. (In press). تعليقات حديق الحقيق (Comments of Haqiqah Al-Haghighah]. Tehran: Elmi.

Nasrollah Monshi, A (1994). كليله و دمنه [Kelileh and Demneh]. Tehran: Amir Kabir.

- Rastgoo, M. (2009). كزينش و گزارش از حديقه سنايى، [A selection and report of Hadighah Sana'i]. Tehran: SAMT.
- Sanai Ghaznavi, A. (1995). حديق الحقيق و شريع الطريق [Hadiqah al-Haqiqah and Shari'ah al-Tariqah]. Tehran: University of Tehran Press.
- Sanai Ghaznavi, A. (2018). حديق الحقيق و شريع الطريق [Hadiqah al-Haqiqah and Shari'ah al-Tariqah]. Tehran: Sokhan.
- The Great Islamic Encyclopedia. (2002). Ibn Batta Akbari. Vol. 3. Tehran: Islamic Encyclopedia Center.
- Toghyani, I. (2003). المسرح مشكلات حايقه سسنايى [A commentary of the problems of Hadighah Sanai]. Isfahan: University of Isfahan Press.