# The Modification of Myths in Allusions of Behbahani, Neyestani, Bahmani and Monzavi's New Sonnets

Dr. Ahmad kanjouri <sup>1</sup>, Dr. Ali Noori <sup>2\*</sup>, Dr. Mohammad Reza Roozbeh <sup>3</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Phd in Persian language and literature, Faculty of Letters and Humanities, Lorestan University, Lorestan,Iran
- <sup>2</sup> Associate Professor, Department of Persian Literature and Language, Faculty of Letters and Humanities, Lorestan University, Lorestan, Iran
- <sup>3</sup> Associate Professor, Department of Persian Literature and Language, Faculty of Letters and Humanities, Lorestan University, Lorestan, Iran

(Received: June 2, 2019 Accepted: February 23, 2020)

#### **Extended Abstract**

#### 1. Introduction

A Myth is the narrator of the saint history and the story which states the saint destiny of primates. Myths, are the crystallization of collective reason, the reflection of the human unconsciousness and the symbolic manifestations of ethnic and collective fears and hopes and aspirations. In the old texts of criticism and rhetoric, there is no mentioning of the place of myth in poetry; the only topic that can be incorporated into the subject of myth is the allusion. In this research, two basic questions are considered. First, what are the attitudes and approaches of Behbahani, Neyestani, Bahmani, and Monzavi especially in the allusions contained in their newsonnets to the myths? And what are the most important types and styles of Modification of Myth in their new-sonnets?

## 2. Theoretical Background

The use of linguistic approaches and theories in reading texts has been one of the most important forms of literary criticism in recent decades. One of the results of using linguistic findings in literary criticism is relying on the text itself and identifying the constituents and units of narration for form recognition. Myth and mythical allusions, as well as any art work and any narration, have elements and components. In every allusion, there are components or bricks that coalesce to create allusions.

Today, the theory of "intertextuality" is one of the major structuralistic and narratological approaches to texts, especially literary texts, and their

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding Author Email: nooria67@yahoo.com

critique. According to the theory of intertextuality, no text is self-sufficient; it relates to earlier texts. Genette (1980) divides intertextuality into two categories of explicit intertextuality such as citations, speech (quotation) and adaptations, and hidden (implicit) intertextuality. Based on Genette's belief, allusion is a kind of intertextuality which has the least explicitness.

In the early twentieth century, French linguists introduced the "general theory of stereotypes" in the sense of ready-made linguistic structures. Permyakof, the Russian linguist and author, called proverb, tale, pejorative, aphorisms, anecdotes, ironies, stories, myths, jokes, and generally the molded and prefabricated phrases of language as the reproductive elements of the language (or stereotypes), and using or transmitting them to subsequent generations maybe possible only in their reproduction or to remember and express them. Productive and creative texts, are against the reproductive elements of language.

#### 3. Method

In this paper, the modification of myths used in new-sonnets of Behbahani, Neyestani, Bahmani, and Monzavi is investigated in a descriptive-analytic manner. On this basis, using the foundations of formalist literary criticism, structuralism, post-structuralism, and looking at the intertextuality theory and the general theory of stereotypes, while expressing the approaches of the aforementioned poets to the myth, the types of modification of myths in the works of this new-sonnet composers are explored, classified and investigated

#### 4. Results and Discussion

The frequencies indicate that the highest percentage of the use of mythical allusions are in Hussein Monzavi's new-sonnets. After Monzavi, Manouchehr Neyestani, Mohammad Ali Bahmani, and Simin Behbahani, respectively, accounted for the highest percentage of mythical allusions.

Since narratives are not merely happening, but are told by a person and narrated from a particular point of view, the pre-textual elements may be changed willingly or unintentionally. So are the myths. In the process of biological and intellectual development and evolution of civilization and culture, one often proceeds from passive myth to philosophical and intellectual reflection on myths and then on the denial of mythical and mystical aspects (modification of myths) or their criticism, analysis, and rereading (mythology).

The new-sonnet composers, in general, and mentioned poets, in particular, use mythical allusions in the same way as the traditional view and style of

poetry, in proportion to the content, theme, and atmosphere of the poem as well as the type of myth. In this approach, the poet is a so-called faithful narrator who does not make a significant change in the implications, and uses these allusions merely as a context for imagination:

The two Zahaki snakes were, two veins flowing up to his head Which opened two bloodthirsty mouths for my aware brain (Behbahani, 2003, p. 951)

In such cases, the new-sonnet composers sometimes are limited to comparison and simile.

In an active and innovative approach, the poet turns away from the depositary narrating of mythical allusions and inserts the mythical allusion in his speech with a range of variations, from mixing the myths to knowing them, historical and realistic myths (elimination of myths), changes in characters and events and other mythological elements, and rereading and recounts the mythical elements (modification of myths) and even deconstruction of mythical implications; therefore, the modification of myths is from the members of the active and initiative approach.

New-sonnet composers through their different approach to myths and mythical allusions and manipulation on tem seek to innovate in the construction and organization of myths, in other words, in the pursuit of "modification of myths". In this approach, by adopting and manipulating myths and changing their designs and styles, they create a novel and inhabitual narrative.

#### 5. Conclusion

By studying the new-sonnets of Behbahani, Neyestani, Bahmani, and Monzavi based on the principles of intertextuality theory and the general theory of stereotypes, it can be concluded that neo-sonnet composers often have a dualistic approach to myths and mythical allusions. Sometimes the same passive and cliché view and style deal with the mythical allusions. In this approach, they do not make much difference to the structure and content of the narrative. And sometimes, they take an active approach, meaning they innovate with elimination of myths or modification of myths. Modification of myths in allusions used in new-sonnets of Behbahani, Neyestani, Bahmani, and Monzavi in ways such as inverting the main mythical elements, shifting the priority of the dual opposites in them, enhancing the myths and less important elements, changing the mythical design, eliminating the mythical elements from its nature and knowing ineffectiveness of some of the myths in modern situations has taken place.

**Keywords:** New Sonnets, Myth, Modification of Myth, Allusion, Behbahani, Neyestani, Bahmani, Monzavi

## References (In Persian)

- Ahmadi, B. (1991). تصویری تـا مـتن [From visual symbols to text]. Tehran, Iran: Markaz
- Ahmadi, B. (2001). ساختار و تأییل مین [Text structure and interpretation of the text]. Tehran, Iran: Markaz.
- Bahar M. (1983). مقدمه ای بسر اسساطیر ایسران. [An introduction on Iranian myths]. Tehran. Iran: Toos.
- Bahar M. (1994). جستاری چند در فرهنگ ایران [A multiple look at Iranian culture]. Tehran, Iran: Fekr-eh Rooz.
- Bahmani, M. (2016). مجموعه اشعار محمدعلى بهمنى [Collection of poems of Mohammad Ali Bahmani]. Tehran, Iran: Negah.
- Behbahani, S. (2003). مجموعه الشعار سيمين بهبهاني [Collection of poems of Simin Behbahani]. Tehran, Iran: Negah.
- Binazir, N., & Khaznadarloo, M. (2015). اي در داستانهای بيــژن در داستانهای بيــژن (Mythical world and myth-generating in Bijan Najdi's stories']. *Journal of Contemporary Literature*, 4, 1-24.
- Cliggs, M. (2009). درستامهٔ نظریـهٔ ادبـی [Textbook of literature theory] (J.Sokhan, Elahe Dehnavi and Sayid Sabzyan, Trans.). Tehran, Iran: Akhtaran.
- Eliade, M. (1983). چشــم/اندازهای اسـطوره [Myth perspectives] (J. Sattari, Trans.). Tehran, Iran: Toos.
- Eliade, M. (2009). مقدس و نامقدس الHoly and unholy] (B. Salaki, Trans.). Tehran, Iran: Elmi Farhangi.
- Felski, R. (2015). كاركردهاى ادبى [Literary functions] (M. Shafqati, Trans.). Tehran, Iran: Avand-eh Danesh.
- Fotouhi Roud Mojani, M. (2007). بلاغت تصوير [The rhetoric of image]. Tehran, Iran: Sokhan.
- Green, K., & Lebihan, J. (2004). [Textbook of literary theory and criticism]. Tehran, Iran: Rouzegar.
- Hamidian, S. (2008). درآمدی بسر اندیشته و هنسر فردوستی [An introduction to Ferdowsi's thought and art]. Tehran, Iran: Nahid.
- Hassanzadeh M., Akbari, H., Yavari, H., & Sadeghi, M. (2014) علم المدى بر نظريه عام (2014). (An introduction to the general theory of stereotypes]. *Journal of Literary Criticism*, 7(27), 29-67.

- Kazazi, M. (2005). اناصة باستان (جلد ۳، داستان سياوش) [The ancient book] (Vol. 3). Tehran, Iran: SAMT.
- Kazemi, R. (2009). سيب تقرهاى ماه: نقد غزلهاى حسين منزوى [The silver apple of the moon: A criticism of the Hussein Monzavi's sonnets]. Tehran, Iran: Morvarid.
- Ketab-eh Modrresi, F., & Kazemzadeh, R. (2010). النواع تلميح در غزلهاى حسين منزوى و (2010). السيمين بهبهانى (Types of allusions in the sonnets of Hossein Monzavi and Simin Behbahani]. Journal of Persian Language and Literature, 1(1), 123 139.
- Mirsadeghi, J., & Mirsadeghi M. (2009). [واژهنامه هنـر داستاننویسـی: فرهنگ تفصـیلی [A glossary of the art of fiction writing: A detailed dictionary of terms of fiction]. Tehran, Iran: Ketab Mahnaz.
- Mohammadi, M. (2006). فرهنگ تلميحات شعر معاصر [A dictionary of allusions of contemporary poetry]. Tehran, Iran: Mitra.
- Monzavi, H. (2010). هم چنان از عشق [Still on the love]. Tehran, Iran: Afarinesh.
- Monzavi, H. (2016). مجموعه اشتعار حسين منـزوى [A collection of poems of Hossein Monzavi] (4th ed.). Tehran, Iran: Negah.
- Namvar Mottlaq, B. (2016). بينامتنيت: از ساختارگرايي تا پسامادرنييسم [Intertextuality: From structuralism to postmodernism]. Tehran, Iran: Sokhan.
- Neyestani, M. (2014). مجموعه اشعار منوچهر نیستانی [Collection of poems of Manouchehr Neyestani]. Tehran, Iran: Negah.
- Nouri, A., & Kanjouri, A. (2016). «شالوده شكنى در تلميحات به كار رفته در غزليات حسين منزوى» ["Deconstructionism in the allusions used in Hussein Monzavi's sonnets]. Journal of Adab Ghanai Research Journal, 14(26), 203-220.
- Perin, L. (1997). دربــاره شــعر [About the poetry] (F. Rakei, Trans.). Tehran, Iran: Ettela'aat.
- Purnamdarian, T. (2002). سفر در مه [Traveling in fog]. Tehran: Negah.
- Rikour, P. (1994). زندگی در دنیای متن [Living in the text world] (B. Ahmadi, Trans.). Tehran, Iran: Markaz.
- Roozbeh, M. (2000). [The revolutions in the Persian Ghazal: From the constitutional to the Islamic Revolution]. Tehran, Iran: Rowzaneh.
- Safavid, C. (2001). از زبانشناسی به ادبیات، جلد اول (نظم) [From linguistics to literature, Vol. I (Order)] (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.). Tehran, Iran: Institute for Islamic Culture and Art.
- Salajega, P. (2010). تقد ندوین در حدوزهٔ شعر [New criticism in the field of poetry]. Tehran, Iran: Morvarid.

- Shafiee Kodkan, M. (1993). صور خيال در شعر فارســي [Imagery in Persian poetry] (5<sup>th</sup> ed.). Tehran, Iran: Agah.
- Shamisa, C. (1992). فرهنـگ تلميحـات [A dictionary of allusions (Mythological, fictional, historical, religious references in Persian literature)] (4<sup>th</sup> ed.). Tehran, Iran: Ferdows.
- Shamisa, C. (1999). نقد ادبي [Literary criticism]. Tehran, Iran: Ferdows.
- Shamisa, C. (2015). تگاهی تسازه بسه بسادیع [New look at oratory] (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.). Tehran, Iran: Ferdows.
- Sharifi, F. (2014). حسين منـزوى (12): Poetry of our era (14): Hossein Monzavi]. Tehran, Iran: Negah.
- Shayganfar, H. (۲۰۰۷). تقد ادبئ: معرفي مكاتب نقد (Literary criticism: Introducing the critical schools]. (3<sup>rd</sup> ed.). Tehran, Iran: Dastan.
- Vant, K. (2000). كانىت: قىدم اول [Kant: Step one] (H. Abak, Trans., 2<sup>nd</sup> ed.). Tehran, Iran: Shirazeh.
- Vitilla, A. (2010). اسطوره و سينما، كشف ساختار اسطورهاى در ٥٠ فيلم بـه يـاد مانـاـنى [Myth and cinema: Discovering the mythical structure in 50 memorable movies] (M. Gozarabadi, Trans.). Tehran, Iran: Hermes.
- Yahak, M. J. (1352). اسطوره در شعر امروز ایران [Myths in today Iranian poetry]. Journal of Faculty of Literature and Humanities, 4, 789-802.

## References (In English)

- Genet, G. (1997/1982). *Palimpsests: Literature in the second degree* (C. Newman, & C Doubinsky, Trans.). Lincoln: University of Nebraska Press.
- Permyakov, G. L. (1979). From proverb to folk-tale, Notes on the general theory of cliché. (Y.M. Filippov Trans.). Moscow, Russia: Nauka.
- Rozhdestvensky, Y. V. (1979). What is the general theory of cliché in from proverb to folk-tale. In G. L. Permyakov (Ed.), *Notes on the general theory of cliché* (Pp. 259-284) (Y. M. Filippov, Trans.). Moscow.